

Electric Propulsion Adoption Pathways through Integrated Technology Development

Nicholas K. Borer, Ph.D.

NASA Langley Research Center

Aeronautics Systems Analysis Branch

Electric Propulsion for Aviation



Benefits

- High efficiency
- Wide operating envelope
- High powerto-weight ratio
- High reliability
- "Scaleinvariant"

Challenges

- Mass of onboard stored energy system
- Lack of supporting infrastructure
- Certification/ safety assurance





Component-level technology development abounds... but what can we get out of smarter integration?

nicholas.k.borer@nasa.gov



SCEPTOR – Propulsion-Airframe Integration

(Scalable Convergent Electric Propulsion Technology Operations Research)

- Lead Center & Partner Centers: Langley (VA), Armstrong (CA), Glenn (OH)
- External Collaborators: ESAero, Joby Aviation, Scaled Composites, Xperimental
- **Big Question:** Can rapid, inexpensive sub-scale technology development and testing show Distributed Electric Propulsion (DEP) capable of ultra-high efficiency, low carbon emissions, and low operating costs at high-speed?
- NASA Aeronautics Strategic Thrusts and Associated Outcomes Addressed:
 - Transition to Low Carbon Propulsion
 - Ultra Efficient Commercial Vehicles



- Idea/Concept: Design and fabricate a DEP wing system, retrofit a Tecnam P2006T with a DEP wing, flight test to show the benefit achieved.
- Feasibility Assessment: Establish baseline cruise energy required, apply new technology, determine whether 5x reduction goal is achieved at 150 knot cruise speed.
- Duration of Execution: 3.5 years, ~\$16M full-cost

SCEPTOR Key Technologies



Distributed Electric Propulsion High-Lift Benefit

Lift Coefficient at 61 Knots (with and without 220 kW power across wing propellers)



nicholas.k.borer@nasa.gov

Wing Planform Comparison





SCEPTOR X-Plane Objectives

NASA SCEPTOR Primary Objective

- Goal: 5x Lower Energy Use (Comparative to Retrofit GA Baseline @ 150 knots)
 - Motor/controller/battery conversion efficiency from 28% to 92% (3.3x)
 - Integration benefits of ~1.5x (2.0x likely achievable with non-retrofit)

NASA SCEPTOR Derivative Objectives

- ~30% Lower Total Operating Cost (Comparative to Retrofit GA Baseline)
- Zero In-flight Carbon Emissions

NASA SCEPTOR Secondary Objectives

- 15 dB Lower community noise (with even lower true community annoyance).
- Flight control redundancy, robustness, reliability, with improved ride quality.
- Certification basis for DEP technologies.

Schedule



SCEPTOR Phase III/IV Cruise Performance



Heavy Fuel Hybrid-Electric SOFC

Leverage Existing Infrastructure with Compelling On-Board Efficiency

- Developing dual-use system concept for hybrid-electric Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) power system
 - Leverage technology developed for DARPA by Boeing
 - Reforms heavy fuel onboard the aircraft
 - Sized for average rather than peak power
 - Tightly integrated to make judicious use of "waste" products











nicholas.k.borer@nasa.gov

Hybrid SOFC Power System Work in Progress

- Developed conceptual system for Cessna 172 retrofit as potential fast concept-toflight vehicle
 - COTS motor, no integration benefits, ~100kW
- Total system >300 W/kg at >60% efficiency
 - Translates to ~2-3x reduction in fuel cost, 2x reduction in carbon emissions, zero NOx for primary propulsion
 - Can use typical hydrocarbon fuel infrastructure
- Developing follow-up effort for design and tested of dual-use system targeting >100kW power class



	Cessna 172P w/
	SuperHawk STC
Fakeoff gross mass, kg	1159
Гурісаl unmodified empty mass, kg	695
Unmodified fuel flow at cruise, kg/hr	25
Exchange mass, kg	-161
Hybrid power system mass, kg	309
Electric powertrain mass, kg	85
Fuel mass remaining to gross weight, kg	51
Estimated cruise fuel flow, kg/hr	13.4
Change in cruise fuel flow, % mass	-46%
Change in cruise fuel flow, % volume	-54%



Questions?

Phase I Ground Testing Validation





















Lastititishantfind 🛼

Artenia Actualor





